DARRYL L. THOMPSON, P.C. 841 "I" Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Ph. 272-9322 Fax: 277-1373

DARRYL THOMPSON, P.C. 841 I Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501 PH: (907) 272-9322 FAX: (907) 277-1373

ABA: 8706055

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
CLARENCE ABELDGAARD, OCEAN VIEW ENTERPRISES, INC., CLOYD MOSER, MODEB INVESTMENTS AND GERALDINE BARLING.))))
Defendants.))) Case No. A01-378 CI (RRB)

MOTION & MEMORANDUM FOR LEAVE TO LATE FILE AN ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Geraldine Barling, by and through her undersigned counsel, Darryl L. Thompson, who hereby requests leave to late file her Answer to the Second Amended Complaint. This motion is filed under Rule 6(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 7.1 and is based on the following.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b), "the court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion (1) with or without motion or notice order the period enlarged if request therefor is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by the previous order, or (2) upon motion made after the expiration of the specified period permit the act to be done where

the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect." In Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick Associates L.P., 507 U.S. 380, 113 S. Ct. 1489 (1993), the Supreme Court discussed what constitutes "excusable neglect" as the term is used in Bankruptcy Rule 9006 and that definition is applicable here. The Pioneer Court recognized that "by empowering the courts to accept late filings, Congress plainly contemplated that the courts would be permitted, where appropriate, to accept late filings caused by inadvertence, mistake, or carelessness, as well as by intervening circumstances beyond the party's control." Id., 113 S.Ct. at 1495. The Pioneer Court applied a liberal definition to excusable neglect, and other Courts have held that participating in good faith settlement discussions constitutes excusable neglect. See, e.g., GCIU Employer Retirement Fund v. Chicago Tribune Co., 8 F.3d 1195, 1200 (7th Cir. 1993) (accepting the possibility of settlement as a justification for inactivity); In re Beltrami Enters. 178 B.R. 389, 392-393 (M.D. Pa. 1994) (negotiating with opposing party is evidence of good faith). Moreover, the failure on the part of the attorney, rather than the party can constitute excusable neglect. See Augusta Fiberglass Coatings, Inc. v. Fodor Contracting Corp., 843 F.2d 808 (4th Cir. 1988).

In the instant case, settlement discussions recently failed to produce a favorable result, although negotiations were made in good faith. Excusable neglect exits and leave to late file the answer should be granted.

///

///

DARRYL L. THOMPSON, P.C.

DATED this 26th day of January 2007.

s/Darryl L. Thompson 841 I Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501 907-272-9322 907-277-1373- Fax darrylthompson@akdltlaw.com

ABA: 8706055

Certificate of Service

I certify that on 1/26/07, a copy of this foregoing document was served electronically on

Lawrence Albert P.O. Box 200934 Anchorage, Alaska 99520

C.E. Abeldgaard Oceanview Enterprises, Inc. P.O. Box 891 Homer, Alaska 99603

Mark A Nitczynski U.S. Department of Justice **Environmental Defense Section** 999 18th Street, Suite 945 Denver, CO 80202

Richard L. Pomeroy Assistant U.S. Attorney District of Alaska Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 222 W. 7th Avenue, Room 9 Anchorage, Alaska 99513

s/ Darryl L. Thompson